IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

1) C.A.NO.2718 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.28989 of 1999.
2) C.A.No.2719 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.35224 of 1999.
3) C.A.No.2720 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.29004 of 1999.
4) C.A.No.2721 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.28997 of 1999.
5) C.A.No.2722 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.29002 of 1999.
6) C.A.No.2723 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.29005 of 1999.
7) C.A.No.2724 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.28996 of 1999.
8) C.A.No.2725 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.29009 of 1999.
9) C.A.No.2726 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.40047 of 1999.
10) C.A.No.2727 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.28998 of 1999.
11) C.A.No.2728 of 2006 in F.A.St.No.40048 of 1999.

Office notes, office memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Shri Bharat Mehta for the Applicant.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.

DATED : 20th Sept., 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned A.G.P. He states that an affidavit of service has been filed. None appears for the Respondents. Sufficient cause is made out for condonation of delay. Rule is accordingly made absolute by condoning the delay in preferring the Appeals.

Judge.